



Complete Summary

TITLE

Bipolar disorder: the percentage of patients diagnosed and treated for bipolar disorder who are monitored for change in their level-of-functioning in response to treatment.

SOURCE(S)

STABLE (STAndards for BipoLar Excellence) performance measures. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. various p.

Measure Domain

PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Process

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the [Measure Validity](#) page.

SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Does not apply to this measure

Brief Abstract

DESCRIPTION

This measure is used to assess the percentage of patients diagnosed and treated for bipolar disorder who are monitored for change in their level-of-functioning in response to treatment.

RATIONALE

Recovery in Bipolar Disorder

- Recovery includes remission of symptomatology, minimizing relapse or recurrence and maximizing functioning and improving quality of life.
- Achieving treatment-related symptomatic improvement does not necessarily mean that the functional recovery is achieved.

- Functional recovery involves the ability to sustain and maintain social, occupational, educational and independent living activities and relationships.

Bipolar Disorder & Response to Treatment

- The 2002 American Psychiatric Association (APA) Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Bipolar Disorder defines remission during the acute phase of treatment as "a complete return to baseline level of functioning and a virtual lack of symptoms".
- The ability to function involves more than the presence or absence of symptoms as some patients with bipolar disorder function well despite having severe symptoms while others have few symptoms but can be dysfunctional.
- Monitoring response to treatment in bipolar disorder should extend beyond symptom reduction to include a focus on a person's improvement in level-of functioning.

Measuring Level-of-functioning

- Level-of-functioning instruments measure a person's ability to interact with others, form relationships and handle day-to-day tasks.
- Self-report of level of functioning has been found to have an important role in treatment as it encourages patient participation and collaborative dialogue.

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Bipolar disorder; evaluation of level-of-functioning

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Patients diagnosed and treated for bipolar disorder (see the related "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Patients whose level of functioning was evaluated during the initial assessment and again within 12 weeks of initiating treatment (see the related "Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

Evidence Supporting the Measure

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY

- A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical evidence
- A formal consensus procedure involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, and organizational sciences
- One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Unspecified

State of Use of the Measure

STATE OF USE

Current routine use

CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement

Application of Measure in its Current Use

CARE SETTING

Ambulatory Care
Behavioral Health Care
Physician Group Practices/Clinics

PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses
Physicians
Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians

LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Individual Clinicians

TARGET POPULATION AGE

Age greater than or equal to 18 years

TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

UTILIZATION

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better
Living with Illness

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

Data Collection for the Measure

CASE FINDING

Users of care only

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

Patients with a diagnosis involving bipolar disorder: International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) or Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Revision, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR): 296.0x; 296.1x; 296.4x; 296.5x; 296.6x; 296.7; 296.80-82; 296.89; or 301.13

DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Patients associated with provider

DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Patients 18 years of age or older with an initial diagnosis or new episode/presentation of bipolar disorder

AND

Documentation of a diagnosis of bipolar disorder; to include at least one of the following:

- Codes 296.0x; 296.1x; 296.4x; 296.5x; 296.6x; 296.7; 296.80; 296.81; 296.82; 296.89; 301.13 documented in body of chart, such as a pre-printed form completed by a clinician and/or codes documented in chart notes/forms
- Diagnosis or impression or "working diagnosis" documented in chart indicating bipolar disorder
- Use of a screening/assessment tool for bipolar disorder with a score or conclusion that patient has bipolar disorder and indication that this information is used to establish or substantiate the diagnosis

AND

Documentation of treatment for bipolar disorder with relevant pharmacotherapy; a mood stabilizing agent and/or an antipsychotic agent

Exclusions

Documentation that patient refuses to provide level-of-functioning information or complete a monitoring form or tool

RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Clinical Condition
Encounter

DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Documentation of monitoring the patient's level-of-functioning (Refer to the "Data Dictionary Reference" in the original measure documentation for specified level-

of-functioning components.). Level-of-functioning monitoring may occur in any of following ways:

- Documentation in patient chart using level-of-functioning monitoring tool
- Patient verbal self-report of level-of-functioning documented by clinician in record
- Clinician documented review of patient-completed monitoring form or mood diary

AND

Timeframe:

Documentation of assessment of level-of-functioning at time of initial assessment and again, at least once, within 12 weeks of initiating treatment.

Exclusions

Unspecified

MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Fixed time period

DATA SOURCE

Administrative data
Medical record

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Individual Case

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Sheehan Disability Scale: A brief self report tool (available at www.cqaimh.org/stable.html)

Computation of the Measure

SCORING

Rate

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a higher score

ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Unspecified

STANDARD OF COMPARISON

Internal time comparison

Evaluation of Measure Properties

EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

- The STABLE measures were developed using the RAND Appropriateness Method and have been shown to have content validity and face validity.
- Data feasibility testing was performed to determine the availability of the data elements required in the measure numerator and denominator specifications.
- Inter-abstractor reliability testing was performed to assess the data collection strategy. The data collection strategy included data collection forms; data dictionary references and abstractor instructions.
- A field study was conducted to determine measure conformance in an appropriate convenience sample.

Refer to the references listed below for further information.

EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

STABLE performance measures: data feasibility testing & results. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. 2 p.

STABLE performance measures: development process & validity ratings. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. 3 p.

STABLE performance measures: field study process & conformance findings. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. 3 p.

STABLE performance measures: inter-abstractor reliability testing & results. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. 2 p.

Identifying Information

ORIGINAL TITLE

Bipolar disorder: monitoring change in level-of-functioning.

MEASURE COLLECTION

[Standards for Bipolar Excellence \(STABLE\) Performance Measures](#)

SUBMITTER

Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health

DEVELOPER

STABLE Project National Coordinating Council

FUNDING SOURCE(S)

AstraZeneca LLP, Wilmington, Delaware, provided financial sponsorship for the STABLE Project. They did not otherwise participate in the development of either the measures or toolkit.

COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP THAT DEVELOPED THE MEASURE

The STABLE [National Coordinating Council \(NCC\)](#) was comprised of national experts in bipolar disorder, psychiatry, primary care, and performance improvement. The NCC guided and directed the STABLE Project. NCC members agreed to serve with the understanding that the STABLE Performance Measures and Resource Toolkit would be fully transparent and available without cost in the public domain.

[EPI-Q, Inc.](#), is a consulting company providing practice-based outcomes research, pharmacoeconomic studies, and quality improvement services. EPI-Q managed the STABLE Project.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Unspecified

ENDORSER

National Quality Forum

ADAPTATION

Measure was not adapted from another source.

RELEASE DATE

2007 Jan

MEASURE STATUS

This is the current release of the measure.

SOURCE(S)

STABLE (STAndards for BipoLar Excellence) performance measures. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007. various p.

MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Bipolar Disorder: Monitoring Change in Level-of-Functioning," is published in "STABLE (STAndards for BipoLar Excellence) Performance Measures." This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health \(CQAIMH\) Web site](#).

COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following is available:

- STABLE National Coordinating Council Resource Toolkit Workgroup. STABLE resource toolkit. Boston (MA): Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health; 2007 Mar. 67 p. This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [Center for Quality Assessment and Improvement in Mental Health \(CQAIMH\) Web site](#).

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on January 10, 2008. The information was verified by the measure developer on April 14, 2008.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

The STABLE materials published on this Web site are copyrighted by CQAIMH. They may be used for research, teaching, and quality measurement/improvement activities – provided the following:

- The materials are not sold, distributed or licensed for commercial purposes.
- CQAIMH's copyright is acknowledged in reproductions of these materials.
- Modifications to the materials are not made without CQAIMH's permission.

Disclaimer

NQMC DISCLAIMER

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse™ (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NQMC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. The inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.

© 2008 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 10/27/2008

